

ABSTRACT

Dermatoglyphic studies have established that palmer and digital ridges form well defined patterns exhibiting traits that are genetically determined, appear to be conservative in their evolution and are therefore very reliable for establishing and confirming the historical relationship and within populations. Unilateral thumbprints of 248 students were recorded, studied and classified using standard methods. The results obtained showed that loops were the most predominant pattern type in both sexes followed by whorls and arches. However, no significant differences occurred between sexes in the pattern types ($p > 0.05$). This study documents for the first time fingerprint patterns in undergraduates of Delta state university and further confirms findings in other parts of Africa.

Dermatoglyphic studies have established that palmer and digital ridges form well-defined patterns Joannes (1940), appear to be conservative in their evolution and are therefore very reliable for establishing and confirming the historical relationship between and within populations Mejer (1980); Jantz et al, (1983); Arricta et al, (1987) & Gualdi et al, (1994). The pattern of fingerprints is determined early in intrauterine life Eugene () genetically determined and may be modified by environmental influences Eugene (). The process of dermal ridge formation begins with formation of volar pads visible at about the sixth week of development Dermatoglyphics (1976). This is followed at about the tenth week by formation of primary ridge patterns of the characteristic of permanent prints William (1990).

It has been emphasized that the neuroepithelium plays an important part in the development of the dermatoglyphic patterns Hirsch et al (1973). Thus the speculation that the palmer patterns correlate with the development of the nervous system Eric et al (1995). More so, several congenital problems involving the nervous system have been expressed on the hand Sumiko et al (1990).

Dermal palmer and plantar ridges are thus useful in biological studies (Amrita 1989 & Hirsch 1978). Their notably variable characteristics are not duplicated in other people, even in monozygotic twins Norris et al (1990). Yet they are permanent and therefore significant as a means of identification Faulds (1880). This diversity, however, falls within pattern limits that permit systematic classification Harold (1943).

Studies in sub-Saharan Africa have shown finger ridge count variability among African groups Jantz et al (1979). Boroffice et al and Igbigbi et al studied the

digital and palmar Dermatoglyphics distributions in the Yoruba and Igbo tribes of Nigeria, Igbigbi et al (1994). More recently, Igbigbi and Msamati have also reported palmer and digital dermatoglyphics patterns in Malawian subjects Igbigbi et al (1999). These studies have clearly demonstrated that Dermatoglyphic traits differ among the various African groups Antonok (1975).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The sample consisted of 248 Undergraduates of Delta State University. Comprising 107 males and 141 females between the ages of 18 to 32 years were studied. The individuals were healthy and physically able-bodied volunteers.

Right Palmer digital thumbprints were obtained using the Antonoks inking procedure Antonok (1975). Only clear prints were classified into digital patterns loops arches and whorls using a hand lens. Inter-observer errors were significantly reduced as two persons studied each print. Variables including sex distribution of prints and relative percentages of thumbprints in both hands were then assessed. SPSS data analytical Soft Ware was used in analyzing Data obtained.

RESULTS

The overall distribution of finger digital pattern was not significantly different between sexes ($p > 0.05$).

Loops were the most common followed by whorls and then arches in both sexes.

Females, however had a greater proportion of arches when compared with their male counterparts however, these differences were not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$).

While the males on the other hand had a greater proportion of whorls and loops (Table 1)

Table 1; Percentage frequency of digital patterns among Students of Delta State University Abraka

Sex	Percentages Prints			Total
	Arches	Loops	Whorls	
Female	6.10	50.83	43.07	100.0
Male	4.34	48.92	46.75	100.0

**Fig1: Finger digital patterns in students of Delta State University**

DISCUSSION

This study has demonstrated like previous works, that female have more arches than their male counterparts indicated. The differences observed between sexes were, however, not significant ($p > 0.05$) hence confirming previous findings that sexual dimorphism may not be easily obtained with digital prints as previously suggested in Africans, Caucasians and Chinese Jantz et al 1979; (Jantz et al 1982).

In the present report, we find that loops were the most common print type in both sexes followed by whorls and arches (Table 1). Loops were more common in females while whorls were more common in males although these differences were not statistically significant.

It was also shown that, no two-finger prints were the same. This further indicates its uniqueness for identification and authentication (Galton 1888).

In conclusion, the study has shown that digital finger print patterns of students of Delta State University Abraka share a close similarity with those of other Nigerians and even Africans (Jantz et al 1979; Jantz et al 1982; Boroffice 1978). Thus establishing that finger print patterns serve as a reliable tool for establishing historical relationships (Meier 1980;

Jantz 1983; Arricta 1987; Gualdi 1994). It has also

Confirmed print pattern reliability as a means of identification and authentication Galton (1888).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful to Prof. M.A.Akanji for his useful advice in the course of this study, Miss Amorighoye F. for her secretarial assistance and the staff of Delta State University Health centre for the technical assistance rendered during this study.

REFERENCES

- Amrita Bagga, Dermatoglyphics of Schizophrenics, 1989, Mittal Publications, New Delhi, India.
- Antonok S.A.:The method of receiving human palmer prints. *Voprosy Anthropology*, 1975; **50**:217-221.
- Arricta M.I, Ibarrondo M, Lostao C: Digital dermatoglyphics in the Basque population. Univariate and multivariate comparison with other Spanish populations. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, 1987; **73**:89-98.
- Boroffice R.A: Digital Dermatoglyphic patterns in a sample of the Nigerian population. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, 1978; **49**:167-170.

- Dermatoglyphics in medical disorders, Blanka Schaumann, Milton Alter, Springer-Verlag, 1976, pp.1-7.
- Eric R. Kandel, James H. Schwartz and Thomas M. Jessel, Essentials of Neural Science and Behaviour, 1995, Appelton & Lange, Norwalk, Connecticut, p.94-95.
- Eugene Scheimann, M.D., The Doctors guide to better health through palmistry, supr., p 59
- Galton, Francis F.R.S.(1888). Personal identification and description. Nature. 1888, XXXV111(77), 173-177-201-202.
- Gualdi-Russo E, Facchini F, Brasili-Gaulandi P et al: Digital and palmer dermatoglyphics and the population structure of the upper Bologna Apennine. Ann Hum Biol, 1994; 21:519-532.
- Harold Cummins and Charles Midlo, Finger Prints < Palms and Soles An Introduction To Dermatoglyphics, 1943 The Blakiston Company, Philadelphia, p.19.
- H. Faulds, On the skin furrows of the hand Nature 22:605 (October 28, 1880) and W.J.Herschel Skin furrows of the hand Nature 23:76 (November 25, 1880)
- Igbighi P.S. Didia B.C., Agan T.U., Ikpa E.B.: Palmar and digital Dermatoglyphics in two ethnic communities in Nigeria. West Afr J Anatomy, 1994; 2:52-56.
- Igbighi P.S., Msamati B.C.: Palmer and digital Dermatoglyphic patterns in Malawian subjects. East Afr Med J, 1999; 76: 668-671.
- Jantz R.L. Hawkinson C.H., Brehme H., Bitzeroth H.W.: Finger ridge count variation among various sub-Saharan African groups. Am J Phys Anthropol, 1982; 57:311-321.
- Jantz R.L. Hawkinson C.H.: Finger ridge counts variability in sub-Saharan Africa, Ann Hum Biol, 1979; 6:41-53.
- Jantz R.I., Chopra V>P: A comparison of dermatoglyphic methodologies in population studies. Am J Phys Anthropol, 1983; 60:61-67.
- Joannes E.P, Physiological Examination of the visual organ and of the cutaneous system" (commentatio de examine physiologico organi visus et systematis cutanei) Breslau: Vratisaviae Typis Universitatis, 1823. Translated into English by Cummins, H, and R.W. Kennedy, Am.J.Crim.Law.Criminol.vol 31, pp343-356, 1940.
- Meier R.J.: Anthropological Dermatoglyphics. A review yearbook of physical Anthropology, 1980; 23:147-178
- Ogunye O., Sagay S.A.: Dermatoglyphics in southern Nigerian population. Heredity, 1981; 31:42-46
- Norris M. Durham and Chris C. Plato, editors, Trends in Dermatoglyphic Research, 1990 Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht/Boston/London, p4.
- William J. Babler Communalities in Epidermal Ridge Development, pp54-68 in Trends in Dermatoglyphic Research, edited by Norris M. Durham and Chris C. Plato, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/London/Boston 1990. (Vol 1, Studies in Human Biology).
- Sumiko Kimura, Blanka A. Schaumann, Chris C. Plato and Tadashi Kitagawa, Developmental Aspects of Human Palmer, Planter and Digital Flexion Creases in Trends of Dermatoglyphic research, edited by Norris M. Durham and Chris C. Plato, Kluwer Academic publishers, Dordrecht/London/Boston 1990. (Vol 1, studies in Human Biology), p 84.
- W. Hirsch, Dermatoglyphics and creases in their relationship to clinical syndromes: A Diagnostic Criterion. p 263-282 in Jamshed Mavalwala, Editor Dermatoglyphics, An International Perspective, 1978, Moulton Publishers, The Hague/Paris.
- W. Hirsch and J.U. Schweichel, Morphological evidence concerning the problem of skin ridge formation. J. Ment. Defic. Res. 17: 58, 1973.
- Theodore Millon & Roger D. Davis, The Millon Clinical Multiaxial Inventroy- III (MCMI-III), in Major psychological Assessment Instruments 2nd Ed. p108-147, Charles S. Newmark Ed. Allyn & Bacon, 1985-1996.